1		Hon. Marsha J. Pechman
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9	RRW LEGACY MANAGEMENT	N - 2-14 0022CMID
10	GROUP, INC., a Washington corporation, and ANTOINETTE WALKER, individually	No. 2:14-cv-00326MJP (Consolidated with 2:14-cv-1544)
11	and as a Limited Partner of Argyll Limited Partnership,	JUDGMENT
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v.	CLERK'S ACTION REQUIRED
14	CAMPBELL WALKER,	
15	Defendant.	
16	CAMPDELL INVESTMENT COMPANY	
17	CAMPBELL INVESTMENT COMPANY, a Washington corporation,	
18	Plaintiff,	
19	v.	
20	CAMPBELL M. WALKER, a foreign individual,	
21	Defendant.	
22	Defendant.	
23	JUDGMENT S	SUMMARY
24	Judgment Creditor: C	ampbell Investment Company, Inc. Plaintiff
25	Judgment Debtor: C	ampbell M. Walker, Defendant
26		

1	Principal Judgment Amount:	\$4,537,085.00
2	Pre-Judgment Interest at the rate of	
3	5.5% per annum pursuant to RCW 4.56.110 ¹	\$1,734,560.68
4	Total Judgment Amount:	\$6,271,645.68
5	Post-Judgment Interest Rate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 ²	0.55%
6		
7	Attorneys for Judgment Creditor Campbell Investment Company	Randall P. Beighle Andrew G. Yates
8		Lane Powell PC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200 Seattle, Washington 98101
9		Malaika M. Eaton
10		McNaul Ebel Nawrot & Helgren PLLC 600 University Street, Suite 2700
11		Seattle, Washington 98101
12	Attorneys for Judgment Debtor Campbell Walker:	Bradley R. Duncan Josh A. Rataezyk
13		Hillis Clark Martin & Peterson, P.S. 1221 Second Avenue, Suite 500
14		Seattle, Washington 98101
15	<u>ORDER</u>	
16	In response to the parties' respective motions for summary judgment, the Court	
17	entered an order dated October 9, 2015 (Dkt. 141). That order granted summary judgment	
18	in full to Plaintiff RRW Legacy Management Group, Inc. ("RRW"). It also granted	
19	RRW's request to dismiss Defendant Campbell Walker's counterclaims. Finally, it	
20	granted Plaintiff Campbell Investment Company's ("CIC") motion for partial summary	
21	judgment as to liability on CIC's breach of fiduciary duty claim against Defendant	
22	Campbell Walker.	
23		
24	This amount is calculated assuming	that judgment is entered on July 28, 2016. If
25	This amount is calculated assuming that judgment is entered on July 28, 2016. If judgment is entered on a different date, the daily amount that accrues on the portion of the	
26	principal judgment on which prejudgment interest runs (\$3,775,966.00) is \$568.98 each day (\$3,775,966.00 x 0.055/365).	
	² This rate assumes that judgment is	entered during the week of July 28, 2016.

As described in the Court's order on summary judgment, the Court grants RRW the following declaratory relief:

- 1. Defendant Campbell Walker was properly removed from his position as General Partner of Argyll Limited Partnership for cause under the Argyll Limited Partnership Agreement Section 7.1, which describes "cause" as "acts of the General Partner ... which constitute larceny, fraud, deceit, willful misconduct or a crime otherwise involving moral turpitude, willful misrepresentation to Partners, or the continued and habitual misuse of alcohol or any use of controlled substances."
- 2. RRW was properly appointed as the new General Partner of Argyll Limited Partnership under Section 6.1 of the Argyll Limited Partnership Agreement.

The remaining aspects of CIC's state law breach of fiduciary duty claim against Campbell Walker were tried before the Honorable Marsha J. Pechman on October 27 and 28, 2015, and January 11, 2016, in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington. CIC appeared by and through its representative, Antoinette Walker, and was represented by its attorneys of record, Randall P. Beighle and Andrew G. Yates of Lane Powell, PC, and Malaika M. Eaton of McNaul Ebel Nawrot & Helgren PLLC. Defendant Campbell Walker appeared on October 27 and 28, 2015, and was represented by his attorneys of record, Bradley R. Duncan and Josh A. Rataezyk, of Hillis Clark Martin Peterson, P.S. Mr. Walker chose not to appear on January 11, 2016.

On January 28, 2016, the Court issued its Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (Dkt. 171), holding that Defendant Campbell Walker's breaches of fiduciary duty caused damages to CIC in the total amount of \$4,537,085.00. Based on the Court's Findings, this total is made up of the following amounts:

(1)	Payments to Darshan	\$3,074,224
(2)	Travel expenses	\$324,857
(3)	Consulting fees to Robert Walker	\$200,000

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

(4)	Payments on life insurance policy	\$78,428
(5)	Contract services	\$54,646
(6)	Interest on the line of credit	\$43,811
(7)	Funds diverted from CIC	\$293,147
(8)	Professional fees	\$467,972

Plaintiff CIC is awarded damages in the principal amount of \$4,537,085.00, against Defendant Campbell Walker.

"A party is entitled to prejudgment interest on liquidated claims to compensate them for loss of use on money that is wrongfully withheld by another party." *TJ Landco*, *LLC v. Harley C. Douglass, Inc.*, 186 Wn. App. 249, 256, 346 P.3d 777 (2015) (citing *Mall Tool Co. v. Far West Equip. Co.*, 45 Wn.2d 158, 169, 273 P.2d 652 (1954)). Here, CIC is entitled to pre-judgment interest on the amounts included in items (1)–(6) awarded to it as listed above, for a total damage award subject to prejudgment interest of \$3,775,966.00.

Pre-judgment interest in a diversity action based on state law is calculated pursuant to the applicable state rules, thus Washington law applies to this case. *In re Exxon Valdez*, 484 F.3d 1098, 1101 (9th Cir. 2007). Plaintiff CIC is entitled to prejudgment interest because the damage amounts identified above are liquidated and the Court finds that the rate specified in RCW 4.56.110 applies. Pre-judgment interest totals \$1,734,560.68 through July 28, 2016. That amount is made up of the following amounts for each of the damage categories found by the Court to be subject to prejudgment interest as of May 23, 2016:

(1)	Payments to Darshan	\$1,309,385.00
(2)	Travel expenses	\$165,312.00
(3)	Consulting fees to Robert Walker	\$136,430.00
(4)	Payments on life insurance policy	\$48,456.00

1			
2	(5) Contract services \$22,139.00		
3	(6) Interest on the line of credit \$15,285.00		
4	The Declaration of William Partin attached to Plaintiffs' notice of presentation of		
	judgment submitted in May 2016 provides the calculation of these amounts. The total		
5	amount of interest has been updated through July 28, 2016, using the per diem amount of		
6	\$568.98.		
7	And the Court being otherwise fully advised herein, now, therefore,		
8	HEREBY ORDERS that Plaintiff CIC is awarded judgment against Defendant		
9	Campbell Walker in the principal amount of \$4,537,085.00. Additionally, Plaintiff CIC is		
10	awarded pre-judgment interest in the amount of \$1,734,560.68, for a total judgment		
11	amount of \$6,271,645.68. Post-judgment interest on the judgment sum shall accrue at the rate of 0.55 percent per annum from the date of entry hereof. IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12			
13			
14	DATED this _28th_ day of _ July _, 2016.		
15	. ——		
16	Maisluf Helens		
17	Marsha J. Pechman		
18	United States District Judge		
19			
20	Presented by:		
21	McNAUL EBEL NAWROT & HELGREN PLLC		
22	By: s/Malaika M. Eaton Malaika M. Eaton, WSBA No. 32837		
23	meaton@mcnaul.com		
24	600 University Street, Suite 2700		
	Seattle, Washington 98101 Telephone (206) 467-1816		
25	Facsimile (206) 624-5128		
26			

1	LANE POWELL PC
2 3	By: s/Randall P. Beighle Randall P. Beighle WSBA No. 13421
4	beighler@lanepowell.com Margaret M. Grega, WSBA No. 46579 gregam@lanepowell.com Andrew G. Yates, WSBA No. 34239
5	Andrew G. Yates, WSBA No. 34239 yatesa@lanepowell.com
6	1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200
7	Seattle, Washington 98101 Telephone (206) 223-7000 Facsimile (206) 223-7107
8	Facsimile (206) 223-7107
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

JUDGMENT (Consol. No. 2:14-cv-00326) – Page 6